Medical Device Assessment



Medaval Accreditation Assessment

Volume 2019

Report 1902AR

05 March 2019

Accreditation assessment of the auscultatory blood pressure measurement technology used in the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus (DIP-0001-00) upper arm ABPM monitor, as validated according to the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard both for a general study in adults and for an additional ABPM-device study and according to the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010

Investigators	Paolo Palatini, Studioso senior dello Studium Patavinum, University of Padova, ITALY.
	Claudio Fania, Reparto di Medicina, Casa di Cura Villa Maria SRL., Padova, ITALY.
Analysis	Neil Atkins, Medaval Ltd., Dublin, IRELAND.

Reference Medaval Ltd. Accreditation assessment of the auscultatory blood pressure measurement technology used in the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus (DIP-0001-00) upper arm ABPM monitor, as validated according to the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard both for a general study in adults and for an additional ABPM-device study and according to the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010. *Medical Device Assessment*. 2019 Mar 05;**2019**(1902AR) 23 p. Available from: https://www.medaval.ie/MDA/2019/MDA1902AR.pdf.

Medical Device Assessment is published by

Medaval Ltd., Unit 107, SBC, Serpentine Ave., Ballsbridge, Dublin D04 H522, IRELAND.

© 2019 Medaval Ltd. All rights reserved.

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of reports or articles originally published in *Medical Device Assessment* can be obtained by email request to <u>info@medaval.ie</u>.

MEDICAL DEVICE ASSESSMENT 1902AR:2019

Accreditation assessment of the auscultatory blood pressure measurement technology used in the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus (DIP-0001-00) upper arm ABPM monitor, as validated according to the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard both for a general study in adults and for an additional ABPM-device study and according to the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010

Medaval Accreditation Assessment – 05 March 2019

Summary

Introduction

The Novacor Diasys 3 Plus (model number DIP-0001-00) is a blood pressure monitor intended for ambulatory blood pressure measurement. Measurements are recorded in hybrid mode with auscultation as the primary method and a backup using oscillometry. They are recorded automatically at timed intervals or additionally on indication of postural hypotension.

Methodology

Under quiet laboratory conditions, when the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus was set to hybrid mode, it was able to detect all measurements using auscultation and, in this way, the oscillometric option was excluded effectively.

The Novacor Diasys 3 Plus was validated, using auscultatory measurements only, according to the requirements of the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard¹. This standard requires two validations for ABPM devices: The first is a primary study in a sample of at least 85 subjects from a general population, in accordance with specified recruitment requirements. The second, an additional requirements for ABPM devices, is a study in a sample of at least 35 subjects who's pulse rate is increased by between 10% and 20% by exercising on bicycle ergometer in order to achieve this change. The Novacor Diasys 3 Plus was also validated according to the requirements of the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010 (ESH-IP)². This is a study in a sample of 33 subjects from a general population, in accordance with very strict recruitment requirements.

A total of 85 subjects were included. Thirty-three of the first 35 subjects comprised the sample required for the ESH-IP study. Thirty six of the remaining 50 subjects also comprised the sample required for the additional requirements study. No subject who participated in the ESH-IP study also participated in the additional requirements study.

Three cuffs were used in accordance with the requirements of the protocols. These were the Paediatric Plus 18 cm to 24 cm cuff (model number ACC-0212-00), the Standard Plus 24 cm to 32 cm cuff (model number ACC-0210-00) and the Large Plus 32 cm to 40 cm cuff (model number ACC-0211-00).

Results

All of the requirements of each of the protocols were satisfied without any adjustments or violations.

In the primary AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 study, the Criterion 1 errors were +3.6 mmHg \pm 2.7 mmHg for SBP and +3.0 \pm 2.7 mmHg for DBP and all values were within the \pm 5.0 mmHg \pm 8.0 mmHg requirements. The Criterion 2 errors were +3.6 mmHg \pm 2.6 mmHg for SBP (within the \pm 5.0 mmHg \pm 5.89 mmHg requirements) and +3.0 \pm 2.5 mmHg for DBP (within the \pm 5.0 mmHg \pm 6.25 mmHg requirements).

In the additional requirements AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 study, the Criterion 1 errors were +4.0 mmHg \pm 3.3 mmHg for SBP and +4.0 \pm 3.4 mmHg for DBP and all values were within the \pm 5.0 mmHg \pm 8.0 mmHg requirements. The Criterion 2 errors were +4.0 mmHg \pm 3.1 mmHg for SBP (within the \pm 5.0 mmHg \pm 5.64 mmHg requirements) and +4.0 \pm 3.3 mmHg for DBP

(within the ± 5.0 mmHg ± 5.64 mmHg requirements).

The ESH-IP protocol has two set of passing requirements. Part 1 sets out ideal and minimal accuracy levels for the 99 individual pairs of measurements each with three criteria. At least two of the ideal criteria and all three of the minimal criteria must be met. The ideal criteria are at least differences (between test and reference 73 measurement) are within 5 mmHg, at least 87 differences are within 10 mmHg and at least 96 differences are within 15 mmHg. For the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus, those differences were 94, 99 and 99 respectively for SBP and were also 94, 99 and 99 respectively for DBP. Part 1 criteria were, therefore, met for both SBP and DBP. Part 2 sets out accuracy levels for the sets of three measurements from the 33 subjects. At least 24 subjects must have at least two measurements within 5 mmHg of the reference measurements and at most 3 subjects can have no measurements within 5 mmHg of the reference measurements. For the Novacor Diasys 3, those counts were 32 and 0 subjects respectively for SBP and were 33 and 0 subjects respectively for DBP. Part 2 criteria were, therefore, met for both SBP and DBP.

Conclusion

Each of the protocols are designed to test the null hypothesis that the device is inaccurate and that this hypothesis must be rejected if the respective passing criteria are met.

As the protocols were followed strictly, any hypothesis that the reliability of the results may be compromised due to protocol adjustment or violation must also be rejected.

Therefore, as the passing criteria in each case have been met, there is no option but to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the auscultatory blood pressure measurement technology used in the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus is accurate, when used with the appropriate cuff, as described herein, within the criteria set out in AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 for both a primary study in adults and an additional requirements for ABPM study and also within the criteria set out in the European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010 for a study in a general population.

Due to dual recruitment, neither the ESH-IP or AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 additional requirements study can be considered as being entirely independent of the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 primary study. However, the ESH-IP and AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 additional requirements studies are mutually independent.

Contents

SUMMARY	2
Introduction	2
Methodology	
Results.	
Conclusion	
	, ,
GLUSSART	0
Abbreviations	6
Plot Legend	6
ORGANISATIONAL DETAILS	7
Medaval Ltd	7
Casa di Cura Villa Maria SRL	7
Novacor	8
DEVICE DETAILS	9
Test Device	
Reference Sphygmomanometer	Q
Reference Cuff(s)	
Reference Stethoscope	9
	10
AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 PRIMART STUDT	IV
Study Details	
Observers	
Sample	
Subject Preparation	
Procedure	
Screening and Recruitment Details	
Subject Details	
Observer Measurements Range-Requirements	
STUDY RESULTS	
Observer Differences	
Vallaation Kesuits	
ΡΙΟΤΣ	12
AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ABPM DEVICE	14
Study Detail s	
Observers	
Sample	
PROCEDURE	
Screening and Recruitment Details	
Subject Details	
Stress Details	
Observer Measurements Range-Requirements	
Study Results	16
Observer Differences	
Validation Results	
Plots	
ESH-IP 2010 STUDY	18
Study Details	
Observers	
Sample	
Subject Preparation	

Procedure	19
Table 1: Screening and Recruitment Details	
Table 2: Subject Details	
Table 3: Observer Measurements in each Recruitment Range	
Study Results	
Table 4: Observer Differences	
Table 5: Validation Results	
Plots	
LIMITATIONS	23
RECOMMENDATIONS	23
REFERENCES	23

Glossary

Abbreviations

%RH	relative humidity percent
°C	degrees Celsius
AAMI	Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (USA)
ABPM	Ambulatory blood pressure measurement
AMP	Associazione Medica Patavina (Medical Association Patavina – Italy)
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
BP	Blood pressure
bpm	beats per minute
CE	Conformité Européene (European Conformity)
CEE	Communauté Economique Européenne (European Economic Community – former name for EU)
cm	centimetre(s)
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
DIMED	Dipartimento di Medicina (Department of Medicine)
ECG	Electrocardiogram
ESH	European Society of Hypertension
ESH-IP	European Society of Hypertension International Protocol
EU	European Union
g	gram(s)
hPa	hectopascals
ISO	International Organization for Standardization/International Standards Organization
m	metre(s)
mAh	milliamp hours
MAP	Mean arterial pressure
meas.	Measurement
min	minute(s)
mmHg	millimetre(s) of mercury
NiMH	Nickel–metal hydride (battery)
PC	Personal computer (any external system do which data can be downloaded)
PP	Pulse Pressure (SBP – DBP)
PR	Pulse rate
QKD & QF	The QKD interval is the time between an ECG QRS wave and the last Korotkoff sound during BP measurement.
req.	requirement
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
SD	standard deviation
SIIA	Società Italiana per l'Ipertensione Arteriosa (Italian Society for Arterial Hypertension)
SRL	Società a responsabilità limitata (Italian equivalent to a limited liability company)
VR	Verona (Italy)
Plot Legend	

- Single point, area one unit
- Two superimposed points, area two units
- Three superimposed points, area two units
- Four superimposed points, area four units
- Five superimposed points, area five units

Organisational Details

Medaval Ltd.

Incorporated in 1989 as Medical Device Assessment Ltd, the company abbreviated its name to Medaval Ltd. in 2015. Medaval provides several services including comprehensive cardiovascular device listings according to peer-reviewed validations, certification for devices that have been proven to have been validated strictly according to a current standard protocol, validation of devices and comparative-equivalence according to MEDDEV 2.7/1 rev 4 standards³. Both validation and comparative-equivalence services are in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/745.

Validations are performed by members of a Validation Panel. They are assigned blind to the manufacturer. Validation reports are peer reviewed by members from a corresponding Reviewer Panel. Once reviewed, investigators are free to prepare a scientific paper for publication, should they so wish.

The passing criteria in validation protocols are based on specific sample distributions and on other criteria and can only be applied if all of the requirements are followed correctly. Therefore, in any validation study, Medaval, first tests the hypothesis that the study was not carried out in accordance with the requirements and it is only if that hypothesis is rejected can the results be considered reliable.

All procedures are developed and reviewed by members of our Scientific Procedures Panel. The Medaval Accreditation Procedure is designed to check that every aspect of a validation protocol is fulfilled. Modifications, that may be necessary for particular populations or circumstances not defined specifically in a protocol must be supported by relevant peer-reviewed scientific publications.

Validation is considered to apply to the specific measurement technology being tested, as distinct from the device itself. No inference should be made about the validity of any other aspect of the device, unless it is also tested according to a regulatory or peer-reviewed protocol. Validation also only applies to the population from which the sample is taken and under the circumstances in which it was carried out, as defined in the protocol. No inference should be made about the validity of the device in a different population or under different circumstances. The results must apply equally to any device that uses an equivalent measurement technology, as proven under MEDDEV 2.7/1 rev 4 standards irrespective of whether that equivalence is proven prior to or subsequent to the validation. The Medaval Scientific Procedures Panel has developed as comparative-equivalence procedure to test the null hypothesis that two devices are not equivalent, according to this standard. Should that hypothesis be rejected, the devices must be regarded as equivalent for that measurement technology.

For more information, please refer to www.medaval.ie.

Casa di Cura Villa Maria SRL

The study investigators were

Prof. Paolo Palatini, Department of Medicine, University of Padova, ITALY and .

Dr. Claudio Fania, Reparto di Medicina, Casa di Cura Villa Maria SRL., Padova, ITALY.

Casa di Cura Villa Maria is one of the most important hospitals, accredited within the Italian National Health System, in Padua.

It has departments in Orthopaedic rehabilitation, Neurological rehabilitation, Rehabilitative longterm care, Plastic surgery and General medicine as well as extensive and intensive outpatient services.

Dr. Claudio Fania is a researcher and an expert in hypertension, on early diagnosis, in the study of possible secondary causes, in the study and prevention of organ damage, in appropriate therapy and in the validation of blood pressure monitors. He worked for years, alongside Prof. Paolo Palatini, at the SIIA-certified hypertension centre at the DIMED Department (Department of Medicine) of the University of Padua and now works in the General Medicine Department at Casa di Cura Villa Maria.

He is the author of 40 international publications in the fields of hypertension, cardiovascular diseases and blood pressure monitor validation. He is a member of the SIIA (Italian Society for Arterial Hypertension), the ESH (European Society of Hypertension) and the AMP (Medical Association Patavina).

For more information, please refer to www.cdcvillamaria.it/pag/casa-di-cura-villa-maria/

Novacor

Novacor is one of the world leaders in ambulatory recording. It has been operating in this field successfully for more than 25 years and offers a complete and advanced range of ECG Recorders and Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitors.

Novacor co-operates with healthcare professionals to improve diagnostic devices continuously to meet clinical needs more closely whilst ensuring each product remains simple to use for the specialist and convenient for the patient. Novacor provides patients and healthcare professionals with products that combine Innovation, Reliability and Quality.

The company has the ISO 13485 certificates. All the products have the CE mark (Dir 93/42 CEE).

For more information, please refer to www.novacor.com.

Device Details

TEST DEVICE

		Assessment	
Full Name	Novacor Diasys 3 Plus	Requirement satisfactory	
Model	DIP-0001-00	Requirement satisfactory	
Measurement Site	Upper Arm	Requirement satisfactory	
Client Use	Suitable for ABPM	Requirement satisfactory	
Operation Method	1: Hybrid (Auscultatory with	Poquirement satisfactory	
operation method	Oscillometric backup) automatic	nequirement satisfactory	
	Oscillometric backup), automatic		
	during deflation		
	2: Oscillometry, automatic during		
	deflation		
Measurement	Single Measurements Only	Requirement satisfactory	
Occurrence			
Device Photograph	·	Requirement satisfactory	
	DIASYS B		
	6/06/208		
Manufacturor(s)	NOVACOR	Poquiromont satisfactory	
Manufacturer(s)	A Dassage Saint Antoine	Requirement satisfactory	
	92508 Ruell-Malmaison,		
	FRANCE		
Cuffs	Paediatric Plus (ACC-0212-00):	Cuffs Listed: Requirement satisfactory	
	18 cm to 24 cm	Arm Circumferences: Requirement satisfactory	
	Standard Plus (ACC-0210-00):	, , ,	
	24 cm to 32 cm		
	Large Plus (ACC -0211-00):		
	$\frac{32 \text{ cm to } 40 \text{ cm}}{32 \text{ cm to } 40 \text{ cm}}$		
	52 cm to 40 cm		
	REFERENCE SPHYGM	OMANOMETER	
		Assessment	
Full Name	BoSo Mercurius E	Information requirement	
Model	370-0-101	Information requirement	
ISO-81060-1			
Certification	Yes	Information requirement	
	Bosch + Sohn GmbH u. Co. KG,		
Manufacturer(s)	Bahnhofstraße 64. D-72417	Desirable information	
	Jungingen GERMANY	Desirable mornation	
	P	···(a)	
	REFERENCE C	.UFF(S)	
		Assessment	
	Bracelet for the measurement of		
	PA for sphygmomanometers	Cuffe Listed Information requirement	
Name(s) and Model(s)	(SBEC-2U) 14 cm to 22 cm		
	(SBEC-2U) 22 cm to 32 cm	Arm Circumferences: Information requirement	
	(SBEC-2U) 32 cm to 42 cm		
ISO-81060-1			
Certification	Yes	Information requirement	
	MediCare - Fl Med, Garda srl		
	Via San Giusenne Artigiano 6		
Manufacturer(s)	37010 Costormano sul Carda VP	Desirable information	
	HALT		
	REFERENCE STET	THOSCOPE	
		Assessment	
Full Namo	Classic Teaching Stetho (for dual	Desirable information	
	simultaneous auscultation)		
wodel	32342 Gima Sin A. Via Marconi 1, 20060		
Manufacturer(s)	Gessate Milano ITALV	Desirable information	
	Gessate Milano, ITAET.		
Device Details Assessmen	t C	checks 21	
	F	Permitted Modifications 0	
	N	/iolations 0	

AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 Primary Study

STUDY DETAILS

Protocol	The AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard for a general study in adults ¹		
		Assessment	
Reference Determination	Sequential same-arm	Information requirement satisfactory	
Adherence	Followed Precisely	Information requirement satisfactory	
Adjustments	None	Information requirement satisfactory	
Study Meas. Method	Auscultatory	Information requirement satisfactory	
Study Measurement Site	Upper Arm	Information requirement satisfactory	
Observers			
Supervisor + 2 Observers	Yes	Information requirement satisfactory	
Observer Training	By expert in BP measurement	Information requirement satisfactory	
Observer Familiarisation	40 test measurements	Supplementary Information	
Observers Blinded	From device and each other	Information requirement satisfactory	
Sample			
Population	A general population	Information requirement satisfactory	
Circumstances	None	Information requirement satisfactory	
HBP Subjects Selection	Inpatients and outpatients	Supplementary Information	
NBP Subjects Selection	Healthcare staff	Supplementary Information	
Subject Preparation			
Back, elbow and arm supported	Yes	Information requirement	
Legs uncrossed	Yes	Information requirement	
Cuff centre at right	Yes	Information requirement	
atrium level			
Comfortable	Yes	Information requirement	
5-min rest at start	Yes	Information requirement	
Study Details Assessment		Checks	15
		Dormittad Madifications	^

tudy Details Assessment	Checks	15
	Permitted Modifications	0
	Violations	0

PROCEDURE

Screening and Recruitment Details

Screening and Recruitment		Assessment				
Total S	Total Screened 85		Information requirement satisfactory	Information requirement satisfactory		
Total E	Excluded		0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Device Fa	ilure	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Poor Qual	ity Sounds	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Cuff Size l	Jnavailable	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Observer	Disagreement	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Bigeminy		0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Trigeminy	,	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Isolated V	PB	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Atrial Fibr	illation	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
	Other Rea	sons*	0	Information requirement satisfactory		
Total F	Recruited <i>(8</i>	35 Required)	85	Value within requirements		
*Expla	nation Sum	imary				
Not applicable			Information requirement satisfactory			
	On Tre	eatment Ranges (On	Rx / Total)			
SBP	Low	≤ 100 <i>mmHg</i>	0/11	Supplementary Information		
	Medium	131 – 139 <i>mmHg</i>	10 / 30	Supplementary Information		
	High	≥ 140 <i>mmHg</i>	23 / 44	Supplementary Information		
DBP	Low	< 80 <i>mmHq</i>	0/8	Supplementary Information		
	Medium	80 – 100 <i>mmHq</i>	12/32	Supplementary Information		
	High	> 100 <i>mmHg</i>	21 / 45	Supplementary Information		
Scree	ning and R	ecruitment Details A	ssessment	Checks	13	
				Permitted Modifications	0	
				Violations	0	

Subject Details

	Requirement	Value	Assessment	
Sex				
Male:Female	2659 (≥ 30%)	34:51	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Age <i>(years)</i>				
Range (Low:High)	≥ 13	24:93	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Mean (SD)		72.3 (14.2)	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Adults:Children	85:0	85:0	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Arm Circumference (cr	n)			
Range <i>(Low:High)</i>		20:37	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Mean (SD)		28.5 (5.4)	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Cuff for Test Device (cm	n)			
Paediatric <i>(17 – 22)</i>	15 to 55 (≥ 16.7%)	24 (28.2%)	Value within	requirements
Standard <i>(22 – 32)</i>	15 to 55 (≥ 16.7%)	35 (41.2%)	Value within	requirements
Large <i>(22 – 42)</i>	15 to 55 (≥ 16.7%)	26 (30.6%)	Value within	requirements
Total	85	85	Value within	requirements
Recruitment SBP (mmh	lg)			
Range (Low:High)		87:183	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
Mean (SD)		137.4 (24.5)	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
Recruitment DBP (mmF	lg)			
Range (Low:High)	-	39:125	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
Mean (SD)		86.6 (16.9)	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
Subject Details Assess	ment		Checks	10
			Permitted Modifications	0
			Violations	0

Observer Measurements Range-Requirements

	Requirement	Value	Assessn	nent
SBP (mmHg)				
≤ 100	13 to 204 (≥ 5%, < 80%)	40 (15.7%)	Value within re	quirements
101 to 139	0 to 191 (< 75%)	97 (38.0%)	Value within re	quirements
≥ 140	51 to 242 (≥ 20%, < 95%)	118 (46.3%)	Value within re	quirements
≥ 160	13 to 242 (≥ 5%, < 95%)	49 (19.2%)	Value within re	quirements
DBP <i>(mmHq)</i>				
≤ 60	13 to 204 (≥ 5%, < 80%)	23 (9.0%)	Value within re	quirements
61 to 84	0 to 191 (< 75%)	120 (47.1%)	Value within requirements	
≥ 85	51 to 242 (≥ 20%, < 95%)	112 (43.9%)	Value within requirements	
≥ 100	13 to 242 (≥ 5%, < 95%)	49 (22.0%)	Value within requirements	
Total		255 (100%)		
DBP sounds used	l			
K4:K5	Total 85	0:85	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Observer Measu	rements Range Assessme	nt	Checks	10
			Permitted Modifications	0
			Violations	0

STUDY RESULTS

es
es

			Assessment	
Observer 2 – Observ	ver 1			
SBP <i>(mmHg)</i>	Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	-4:4	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
·	Mean (SD)	0.7 (1.8)	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
DBP <i>(mmHg)</i>	Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	-4:4	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
	Mean (SD)	0.4 (1.9)	Supplementary Information	Supplementary Information
Repeated Measurer	nents	1	Information requir	rement satisfactory
·	Observer differer	nce > 4 mmHg		
Observer Difference	es Assessment		Checks	1
			Permitted Modifications	0
			Violations	0

Validation Results

	Daga Dag	Achi	eved	Asses	sment
Criterion 1	Pass Req.	SBP	DBP		
Measurement pairs		2!	55	Value within	requirements
Mean <i>mmHg</i>	≤ 5	+3.6	+3.0	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
SD <i>mmHg</i>	≤ 8	2.7	2.7	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Criterion 1 Result		Pass	Pass	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Criterion 2					
Number of subjects		8	5	Value within	requirements
Mean <i>mmHg</i>		+3.6	+3.0	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
SD mmHg	≤ 5.89:6.25	2.6	2.6	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Criterion 2 Result		Pass	Pass	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Result		Pa	355	Value within p	passing criteria
Validation Results Asses	sment			Checks	15
				Permitted Modifications	0
				Violations	0

Plots





See Page 6	for Plot L	legend	

5		Assessment		
SBP Plot Provided DBP Plot Provided	Yes Yes	Information requirement satisfactory Information requirement satisfactory		
Plots Assessment		Checks	2	
		Permitted Modifications	0	
		Violations	0	
AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 Primary Study Assessment		Checks	66	
		Permitted Modifications	0	
		Violations	0	

AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 Additional Requirements for ABPM Device

STUDY DETAILS

Protocol	The AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 ac for use in ambulatory monitoring ¹	lditional requirements for a sphygmomano	meter intended
		Assessment	
Reference Determination	Simultaneous opposite-arm	Information requirement satisfactory	
Adherence	Followed Precisely	Information requirement satisfactory	
Adjustments	None	Information requirement satisfactory	
Study Meas. Method	Auscultatory	Information requirement satisfactory	
Study Measurement Site	Upper Arm	Information requirement satisfactory	
Observers			
Supervisor + 2 Observers	Yes	Information requirement satisfactory	
Observer Training	By expert in BP measurement	Information requirement satisfactory	
Observer Familiarisation	40 test measurements	Supplementary Information	
Observers Blinded	From device and each other	Information requirement satisfactory	
Sample			
Population	A general population	Information requirement satisfactory	
Circumstances	Bicycle ergometer stress for ABPM additional requirements	Information requirement satisfactory	
HBP Subjects Selection	Inpatients and outpatients	Supplementary Information	
NBP Subjects Selection	Healthcare staff	Supplementary Information	
Study Details Assessment		Checks	10
		Permitted Modifications	0
		Violations	0

PROCEDURE

Screening and Recruitment Details

Screening and Recruitment		ment	Assessment		
Total S	Screened		36	Information requirement satisfactory	
Total E	Excluded		0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Device Fa	ilure	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Poor Qual	ity Sounds	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Cuff Size l	Jnavailable	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Observer	Disagreement	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Bigeminy		0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Trigeminy	/	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Isolated V	PB	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Atrial Fibr	illation	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
	Other Rea	sons*	0	Information requirement satisfactory	
Total F	Recruited (2	2 85 Required)	36	Value within requirements	
*Expla	nation Sum	nmary			
-	Not applie	cable		Information requirement satisfactory	
	On Tro	eatment Ranges (On	Rx / Total)		
D .	Low	≤ 100 <i>mmHg</i>	1/2	Supplementary Information	
Rest	Medium	131 – 139 <i>mmHq</i>	8/19	Supplementary Information	
SBP	High	≥ 140 <i>mmHg</i>	6/15	Supplementary Information	
D (Low	< 80 <i>mmHq</i>	1/2	Supplementary Information	
Rest	Medium	80 – 100 <i>mmHq</i>	11/24	Supplementary Information	
DRh	High	> 100 <i>mmHg</i>	3 / 10	Supplementary Information	
Scree	Screening and Recruitment Details Assessment		ssessment	Checks 13	
				Permitted Modifications 0	
				Violations 0	

Subject Details

_	Requirement	Value	Assessment	
Sex				
Male:Female	≥ 11 (30%)	14:22	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Age <i>(years)</i>				
Range (Low:High)	≥ 13	28:88	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Mean (SD)		70.8 (14.6)	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Adults:Children	36:0	36:0	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Arm Circumference (cm)			
Range <i>(Low:High)</i>		20:36	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Mean (SD)		28.2 (5.7)	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Cuff for Test Device (cm)			
Paediatric <i>(17 – 22)</i>	6 to 24 (≥ 16.7%)	12 (33.3%)	Value within	requirements
Standard <i>(22 – 32)</i>	6 to 24 (≥ 16.7%)	13 (36.1%)	Value within	requirements
Large <i>(22 – 42)</i>	6 to 24 (≥ 16.7%)	11 (30.6%)	Value within	requirements
Total	36	36	Value within	requirements
Resting SBP (mmHg)				
Range (Low:High)		86:166	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Mean (SD)		134.2 (19.8)	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
> 160 mmHg	4 to 36 (≥ 10%)	6	Value within	requirements
Resting DBP (mmHg)				
Range (Low:High)		56:106	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
Mean (SD)		80.1 (13.8)	Desirable Information	Desirable Information
> 100 mmHg	4 to 36 (≥ 10%)	6	Value within requirements	
Subject Details Assess	ment		Checks	12
			Permitted Modifications	0
			Violations	0

Stress Details

	Requirement	Value	Assessment	
Resting Pulse Rate (bpm))			
Range (Low:High)		62:88	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		73.1 (6.5)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Bicycle Ergometer Percer	ntage Load Settings			
Range (Low:High)		11:18	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		14.2 (1.8)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Stressed Pulse Rate (bpn	n)			
Range (Low:High)		70:102	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		83.4 (7.9)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Pulse Rate Percentage In	crease			
Range (Low:High)	≥ 10:≤ 20	10.9:17.6	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Mean (SD)		14.0 (1.8)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
< 10%	0	0	Value within	requirements
10% to 20%	36	36	Value within	requirements
> 20%	0	0	Value within	requirements

Correlation of PR Percentage Increase with Bicycle Ergometer Percentage Load

Correlation of PR Percentage increase	with bicycle Ergometer Percen	lage Load	
r	0.74	Supplementary Information	
Stress Details Assessment	(Checks	19
	I	Permitted Modifications	0
	, in the second s	/iolations	0

Observer Measurements Range-Requirements

	Requirement	Value	Assessr	ment	
SBP (mmHg)					
≤ 100		6 (5.6%)	Value within re	equirements	
101 to 139	1	55 (50.9%)	Value within requirements		
≥ 140		47 (43.5%)	Value within requirements		
≥ 160		18 (16.7%)	Value within requirements		
DBP <i>(mmHg)</i>					
≤ 60		4 (3.7%)	Value within re	equirements	
61 to 84		68 (63.0%)	Value within requirements		
≥ 85		36 (33.3%)	Value within requirements		
≥ 100		19 (17.6%)	Value within re	equirements	
DBP sounds us	sed				
K4:K5	Total 36	0:36	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory	
Observer Mea	surements Range Asse	ssment	Checks	10	
	_		Permitted Modifications	0	
			Violations	0	

STUDY RESULTS

Observer Differences

			Assessment	
Observer 2 – Observ	ver 1			
SBP <i>(mmHg)</i>	Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	-4:4	Optional data provided	Optional data provided
-	Mean (SD)	-0.3 (1.9)	Optional data provided	Optional data provided
DBP <i>(mmHg)</i>	Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	-4:4	Optional data provided	Optional data provided
	Mean (SD)	0.0 (1.9)	Optional data provided	Optional data provided
Repeated Measurements 2			Information requirement satisfactory	
·	Low	sound quality		·
Observer Difference	es Assessment		Checks	1
			Permitted Modifications	0
			Violations	0

Validation Results

	Dace Dag	Achi	eved	Assessment	
Criterion 1	Pass Req.	SBP	DBP		
Measurement pairs		1(08	Value within	requirements
Mean <i>mmHg</i>	≤ 5	+4.0	+4.0	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
SD <i>mmHg</i>	≤ 8	3.3	3.4	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Criterion 1 Result		Pass	Pass	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Criterion 2					
Number of subjects		3	6	Value within requirements	
Mean <i>mmHg</i>		+4.0	+4.0	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
SD mmHg	≤ 5.64:5.64	3.1	3.3	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Criterion 2 Result		Pass	Pass	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Result		Pa	ass	Value within	oassing criteria
Validation Results Assessment Checks		Checks	15		
				Permitted Modifications	0
				Violations	0

Plots



See Page 6 for Plot Legend

		Assessmer	nt
SBP Plot Provided	Yes	Information requirement satisfactory	
DBP Plot Provided	Yes	Information requirement satisfacted	ory
Plots Assessment		Checks	2
		Permitted Modifications	0
		Violations	0
AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 Ad	Iditional Requirements	Checks	82
for ABPM Device Assessment	-	Permitted Modifications	0
		Violations	0

ESH-IP 2010 Study

STUDY DETAILS

Protocol	The European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010 for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices in adults ²					
		Assessment				
Adherence	Followed Precisely	Information requirement satisfactory				
Adjustments	None	Information requirement satisfactory				
Study Meas. Method	Auscultatory	Information requirement satisfactory				
Study Measurement Site	Upper Arm	Information requirement satisfactory				
Observers						
Supervisor + 2 Observers	Yes	Information requirement satisfactory				
Observer Training	By expert in BP measurement	Information requirement satisfactory				
Observer Familiarisation	40 test measurements	Information requirement satisfactory				
Observers Blinding	From device and each other	Information requirement satisfactory				
Sight and Hearing Checked	Yes	Information requirement				
Sample						
Population	A general population	Information requirement satisfactory				
Circumstances	None	Information requirement satisfactory				
HBP Subjects Selection	Inpatients and outpatients	Information requirement satisfactory				
NBP Subjects Selection	Healthcare staff	Information requirement satisfactory				
Subject Preparation						
Back, elbow and arm supported	Yes	Information requirement				
Legs uncrossed	Yes	Information requirement				
Cuff centre at right	Yes	Information requirement				
atrium level 10-15-min rest at start	Yes	Information requirement				
			17			
Study Details Assessment		Cnecks Demoitte el Ma difieration e	17			
		Permitted Modifications	0			
		violations	U			

PROCEDURE

Table 1: Screening and Recruitment Details

Screening and Recruitment			Assessment
Total Screened		35	Information requirement satisfactory
Total Excluded		2	Information requirement satisfactory
Ranges Complete	2		Information requirement satisfactory
Range Adjustment	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Arrhythmias	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Device Failure	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Poor Quality Sounds	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Cuff Size Unavailable	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Observer Disagreement	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Distribution	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Other Reasons*	0		Information requirement satisfactory
Total Recruited (33 Required)		33	Value within requirements
*Explanation Summary			
Not applicable			Information requirement satisfactory

Information requirement satisfactory

	Recruitment Ranges							
			Req.	<u>۱</u>	/alues	5	Assessment	
SBP								
То	tal		33			33	Value within requirements	
Lo	W	< 130 <i>mmHg</i>	1012		10		Value within requirements	
		< 90 <i>mmHg</i>		1			Information requirement satisfactory	
		90 – 129 <i>mmHg</i>		9			Information requirement satisfactory	
Me	edium	130 – 160 <i>mmHg</i>	1012		12		Value within requirements	
Hi	gh	> 160 <i>mmHg</i>	1012		11		Value within requirements	
		161 – 180 <i>mmHg</i>		10			Information requirement satisfactory	
		> 180 <i>mmHg</i>		1			Information requirement satisfactory	
DBP								
То	tal		33			33	Value within requirements	
Lo	W	< 80 <i>mmHg</i>	1012		10		Value within requirements	
		< 40 <i>mmHg</i>		1			Information requirement satisfactory	
		40 –79 <i>mmHg</i>		9			Information requirement satisfactory	
Me	edium	80 – 100 <i>mmHq</i>	1012		12		Value within requirements	
Hie	qh	> 100 mmHg	1012		11		Value within requirements	
	5	101 – 130 <i>mmHq</i>		11			Information requirement satisfactory	
		> 130 <i>mmHg</i>		0			Information requirement satisfactory	
Total E	Extremes		04	3			Value within requirements	
		On Treatment Ra	nges					
SBP	Low	< 130 <i>mmHg</i>		1			Information requirement satisfactory	
	Medium	130 – 160 <i>mmHg</i>		5			Information requirement satisfactory	
	High	> 160 <i>mmHg</i>		8			Information requirement satisfactory	
DBP	Low	< 80 <i>mmHg</i>		1			Information requirement satisfactory	
	Medium	80 – 100 <i>mmHg</i>		5			Information requirement satisfactory	
	High	> 100 <i>mmHg</i>		8			Information requirement satisfactory	
Scree	ning and Re	ecruitment Details	Assess	ment			Checks	36
							Permitted Modifications	0
							Violations	0

Table 2: Subject Details

_	Requirement	Value	Asses	sment
Sex Male:Female	≥ 10: ≥ 10	14:19	Value within requirements	Value within requirements
Age <i>(years)</i>				
Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	≥ 25	59:93	Value within requirements	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		76.8 (7.3)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Arm Circumference (cm)				
Range <i>(Low:High)</i>		20:37	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		28.8 (5.2)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Cuff for Test Device (<i>cm</i>) Paediatric Plus (17 - 22)		8	Information requir	ement satisfactory
Standard Plus <i>(22</i> – <i>32)</i>		15	Information requir	ement satisfactory
Large Plus <i>(22 – 42)</i> Total	33	10 33	Information requirement satisfactory Value within requirements	
Recruitment SBP (mmHg))			
Range (Low:High)		89:183	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		140.8 (28.8)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Recruitment DBP (mmHg)			
Range (Low:High)		39:125	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Mean (SD)		90.1 (18.8)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Subject Details Assessm	ent		Checks Permitted Modifications Violations	22 0 0

Table 3: Observer Measurements in each Recruitment Range

	Requirement	Value	Assessment		
SBP					
Overall Range <i>mmHg</i> (<i>Low:High)</i>	<u><</u> 100: <u>></u> 170	85:182	Value within requirements	Value within requirements	
Low <i>(< 130 mmHg)</i>	2244	33	Value within	requirements	
Medium <i>(130 – 160 mmHg)</i>	2244	38	Value within	requirements	
High <i>(> 160 mmHg)</i>	2244	28	Value within requirements		
Maximum Difference	<u><</u> 19	10	Value within requirements		
DBP					
Overall Range <i>mmHg</i> (Low:High)	<u><</u> 50: <u>></u> 120	35:121	Value within requirements	Value within requirements	
Low (< 80 <i>mmHg</i>)	2244	32	Value within	requirements	
Medium (80 – 100 <i>mmHg</i>)	2244	38	Value within	requirements	
High (> 100 <i>mmHg</i>)	2244	29	Value within	requirements	
Maximum Difference	<u><</u> 19	9	Value within requirements		
Observer Measurements Range A	ssessment		Checks	12	
_			Permitted Modifications	0	
			Violations	0	

STUDY RESULTS

Table 4: Observer Differences

	Requirement	Value	Assessment		
SBP (mmHg) Observer 2 –	Observer 1				
Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	-4+4: -4+4	-2:+4	Value within requirements	Value within requirements	
Mean (SD)		+1.3 (1.4)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory	
DBP <i>(mmHg)</i> Observer 2 –	Observer 1				
Range <i>(Low:High)</i>	-4+4: -4+4	-4:+4	Value within requirements	Value within requirements	
Mean (SD)		+0.7 (1.7)	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory	
Repeated Measurements		0	Information requi	rement satisfactory	
Observer Differences Ass	essment		Checks	9	
			Permitted Modifications	0	
			Violations	0	

Table 5: Validation Results

Part 1	Pass	Req.	Achi	eved	Assessment	
	Two of	All of	SBP	DBP		
<u><</u> 5 <i>mmHg</i>	73	65	94	94	Value within upper criteria	Value within upper criteria
<u><</u> 10 <i>mmHg</i>	87	81	99	99	Value within upper criteria	Value within upper criteria
<u><</u> 15 <i>mmHg</i>	96	93	99	99	Value within upper criteria	Value within upper criteria
Grade 1			Pass	Pass	Value within upper criteria	Value within upper criteria
Mean <i>mmHg</i>			3.4	2.9	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
SD mmHg			2.1	2.3	Information req. satisfactory	Information req. satisfactory
Part 2		Pass	Achi	eved		
		Req.	SBP	DBP		
2/3 <u><</u> 5 mmHg	,	<u>></u> 24	32	33	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
0/3 <u><</u> 5 mmHg	7	<u><</u> 3	0	0	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Grade 2			Pass	Pass	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Grade 3			Pass	Pass	Value within passing criteria	Value within passing criteria
Part 3						
Result			Pa	iss	All values within upper passing criteria	
Validation Result	ts Assessm	ent			Checks	21
					Permitted Modifications	0
					Violations	0

Plots



See	Page	6 for Pl	ot Leaend

		Assessment		
SBP Plot Provided	Yes	Information requirement satisfactory		
DBP Plot Provided	Yes	Information requirement satisfact	ory	
Plots Assessment		Checks	2	
		Permitted Modifications	0	
		Violations	0	
ESH Study Assessment		Checks	119	
		Permitted Modifications	0	
		Violations	0	

Limitations

		Assessment		
Effect of Problems	No problems	Information requirement satisfact	ory	
Justification of	No adjustments to protocol	Information requirement satisfact	tisfactory	
Adjustments				
Effect of K4 use	K4 not used	Information requirement satisfact	atisfactory satisfactory	
Previous Validation	None	Information requirement satisfact		
Studies Comparisons				
	Not applicable	Information requirement satisfact	actory actory	
Contrasts	Not applicable	Information requirement satisfact		
Cautions for correct use	None	Information requirement satisfact	ory	
Limitations Assessment		Checks	7	
		Permitted Modifications	0	
		Violations	0	

Recommendations

Overall Summary

Number of checks	295
Number of permitted modifications	0
Number of violations	0

Assessment Summary

The validations have been checked and are verified as having been conducted in accordance with the respective protocol requirements. Therefore, any hypothesis that the reliability of the results may be compromised due to protocol adjustment or violation must be rejected and each of the results must be considered to be valid. According to each protocol, these results require that the null hypothesis, that the tested technology in the device is inaccurate in measuring blood pressure, is rejected. Therefore, it must be concluded, that the auscultatory blood pressure measurement technology used in the Novacor Diasys 3 Plus monitor is accurate for blood pressure measurement in adults, in both static and ambulatory settings.

References

- Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation, American National Standards Institute, International Organization for Standardization. AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013, Noninvasive Sphygmomanometers - Part 2: Clinical Investigation of Automated Measurement Type. Geneva, Switzerland: ISO; 2013.
- O'Brien E, Atkins N, Stergiou G, Karpettas N, Parati G, Asmar R, Imai Y, Wang J, Mengden T, Shennan A; Working Group on Blood Pressure Monitoring of the European Society of Hypertension. European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010 for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices in adults. *Blood Press Monit.* 2010;15:23-38. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0b013e3283360e98. *PMID:* 20110786. Erratum in *Blood Press Monit.* 2010;15(3):171-2.
- 3. European Commission Health Technology and Cosmetics. MEDDEV 2.7/1 rev.4: Guidelines on

Certification Decision

The Novacor Diasys 3 Plus (DIP-0001-00), with the appropriate Paediatric Plus 18 cm to 24 cm (ACC-0212-00), Standard Plus 24 cm to 32 cm (ACC-0210-00) or Large Plus 32 cm to 40 cm (ACC-0211-00) cuff, is certified by Medaval Ltd., for auscultatory blood pressure measurement, including ABPM, in adults, as the technology fulfilled the conditions required for a pass in 1) a primary validation study carried out in accordance with the requirements of the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard, 2) an additional validation study during stress carried out in accordance with the requirements of the AAMI/ANSI/ISO 81060-2:2013 standard for ambulatory monitors and 3) a validation study carried out in accordance with the requirements of the International Protocol of the European Society of Hypertension 2010 Revision.

Date of Approval: 04 March 2019.

Medical Devices – Clinical Evaluation: A Guide for Manufacturers and Notified Bodies under Directives 93/42/EEC and 90/385/EEC. Brussels, Belgium: European Commission; June 2016 (65 p). Available from:

http://ec.europa.eu/DocsRoom/documents/17522/attac hments/1/translations/

4. The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Regulation (EU) 2017/745 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on medical devices, amending Directive 2001/83/EC, Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 and Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 and repealing Council Directives 90/385/EEC and 93/42/EEC (Text with EEA relevance). Official Journal of the European Union. 2017 May 05;60(L 117):1-175. Available from: https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-

content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32017R0745.